Even under Slubgob you must have learned at college the routine technique of sexual temptation, and since, for us spirits, this whole subject is one of considerable tedium (though necessary as part of our training) I will pass it over. But on the larger issues involved I think you have a good deal to learn.

The Enemy's demand on humans takes the form of a dilemma; either complete abstinence or unmitigated monogamy. Ever since Our Father's first great victory, we have rendered the former very

difficult to them. The latter, for the last few centuries, we have been closing up as a way of escape. We have done this through the poets and novelists by persuading the humans that a curious, and usually short-lived, experience which they call "being in love" is the only respectable ground for marriage; that marriage can, and ought to, render this excitement permanent; and that a marriage which does not do so is no longer binding. This idea is our parody of an idea that came from the Enemy.

The whole philosophy of Hell rests on recognition of the axiom that one thing is not another thing, and, specially, that one self is not another self. My good is my good, and your good is yours. What one gains another loses. Even an inanimate object is what it is by excluding all other objects from the space it occupies; if it expands, it does so by thrusting other objects aside or by absorbing them. A self does the same. With beasts the absorption takes the form of eating; for us, it means the sucking of will and freedom out of a weaker self into a stronger. "To be" means "to be in competition."

Now, the Enemy's philosophy is nothing more nor less than one continued attempt to evade this very obvious truth. He aims at a contradiction. Things are to be many, yet somehow also one. The good of one self is to be the good of another. This impossibility He calls Love, and this same monotonous panacea can be detected under all He does and even all He is—or claims to be. Thus He is not content, even Himself, to be a sheer arithmetical

unity; He claims to be three as well as one, in order that this nonsense about Love may find a foothold in His own nature. At the other end of the scale, He introduces into matter that obscene invention the organism, in which the parts are perverted from their natural destiny of competition and made to cooperate.

out to be simply one more device for dragging in responsible way. The whole thing, in fact, turns organism, only worse; for the members are more and given the parents an impulse to support itdistinct, yet also united in a more conscious and thus producing the Family, which is like the also made the offspring dependent on the parents a stronger self preyed upon a weaker-as it is, intion between the parties with sexual desire. He has mans the Enemy has gratuitously associated affecher nuptials by eating her groom. But in the hudeed, among the spiders where the bride concludes It might have been merely one more mode in which have been, from our point of view, quite innocent. parent from the use He has made of it. Sex might of reproduction among humans is only too ap-His real motive for fixing on sex as the method

Now comes the joke. The Enemy described a married couple as "one flesh." He did not say "a happily married couple" or "a couple who married because they were in love," but you can make the humans ignore that. You can also make them forget that the man they call Paul did not confine it to married couples. Mere copulation, for him, makes

for the preservation of chastity, and for the transcynical. Yes, they think that. They regard the intention of loyalty to a partnership for mutual help, with any other motive seems to them low and "in love," and, thanks to us, the idea of marrying as a solution because they do not find themselves continence can be deterred from seeking marriage the first place, humans who have not the gift of promises as its result. Two advantages follow. In and distorted version of something the Enemy really to regard as the basis for marriage a highly coloured In other words, the humans are to be encouraged tion very often, but not always, attends conversion. fidelity, fertility, and goodwill; just as religious emocede marriages which are made in obedience to the in love" does very often, in western Europe, preor holy. The error is easy to produce because "being if obediently entered into, too often will producescendental relation was intended to produce-and, Enemy's designs, that is, with the intention of the only thing that makes marriage either happy fear, and desire which they call "being in love" is infer the false belief that the blend of affection, affection and the family, humans can be made to endured. From the true statement that this tranor not, a transcendental relation is set up between as rhetorical eulogies of "being in love" what were them which must be eternally enjoyed or eternally man lies with a woman, there, whether they like it sexual intercourse. The truth is that wherever a in fact plain descriptions of the real significance of "one flesh." You can thus get the humans to accept

mission of life, as something lower than a storm of emotion. (Don't neglect to make your man think the marriage service very offensive.) In the second place any sexual infatuation whatever, so long as it intends marriage, will be regarded as "love," and "love" will be held to excuse a man from all the guilt, and to protect him from all the consequences, of marrying a heathen, a fool, or a wanton. But more of this in my next.

Your affectionate uncle Screwtape

XIX

I have been thinking very hard about the question in your last letter. If, as I have clearly shown, all selves are by their very nature in competition, and therefore the Enemy's idea of Love is a contradiction in terms, what becomes of my reiterated warning that He really loves the human vermin and really desires their freedom and continued existence? I hope, my dear boy, you have not shown my letters to anyone. Not that it matters, of course. Anyone would see that the appearance of heresy into which I have fallen is purely accidental. By the way, I

hope you understood, too, that some apparently uncomplimentary references to Slubgob were purely jocular. I really have the highest respect for him. And, of course, some things I said about not shielding you from the authorities were not seriously meant. You can trust me to look after your interests. But do keep everything under lock and key.

opportunity. He admitted that he felt a real anxiety to know the secret; the Enemy replied, "I wish with to lay His cards on the table, and gave Him every naturally could not accept. He implored the Enemy He has been circulating ever since. This Our Father cock-and-bull story about disinterested Love which sought an interview and asked for an explanation. The Enemy gave no reply except to produce the episode about a cross, Our Father very naturally the Enemy freely confessed that he foresaw a certain man was first mooted and when, even at that stage, quarrel with the Enemy. When the creation of very problem was a chief cause of Our Father's not see that it can do any harm to tell you that this out of them? That is the insoluble question. I do out that real motive. What does He stand to make had this impossible Love is our utter failure to find them. The reason one comes to talk as if He really His. All His talk about Love must be a disguise for creating them and taking so much trouble about for something else-He must have some real motive they are distinct from Him. Their good cannot be That, of course, is an impossibility. He is one being saying that the Enemy really loves the humans. The truth is, I slipped by mere carelessness into

> mil to succeed. nents for those who fail-all this, pursued and swelerated to the very end of time, cannot, surely, who make progress, more and more terrible punishwilections of data, richer rewards for researchers md more complicated theories, fuller and fuller an't find out. Yet we must never lose hope; more pothesis after hypothesis has been tried, and still we could only find out what He is really up to! Hylove: nobody can; it doesn't make sense. If we the great task. We know that He cannot really over and we should reenter Heaven. And there lies stand what He means by Love, the war would be frequently admitted that if ever we came to underdepends on the secret. Members of His faction have see why our Oppressor was so secretive. His throne to the ridiculous enemy story that he was forcibly hrown out of Heaven. Since then, we have begun to Presence with a suddenness which has given rise to remove himself an infinite distance from the such an unprovoked lack of confidence caused him stage in the interview that Our Father's disgust at ill my heart that you did." It was, I imagine, at this

You complain that my last letter does not make it clear whether I regard being in love as a desirable state for a human or not. But really, Wormwood, that is the sort of question one expects them to sk! Leave them to discuss whether "Love," or patriotism, or celibacy, or candles on altars, or teetotalism, or education, is "good" or "bad." Can't you see there's no answer? Nothing matters at all except the tendency of a given state of mind, in

poets and fifth-rate novelists of the old school until is an emotional, gullible man, feed him on minor an overweening asceticism and then, when you have the body really based on delicacy but mistaken by "bad." If he is an arrogant man with a contempt for to make the patient decide that Love is "good" or nearer to us. Thus it would be quite a good thing at a particular moment nearer to the Enemy or given circumstances, to move a particular patient or to the other side. It is simply an occasion which is not, in itself, necessarily favourable either to us in your own mind that this state of falling in low you next write. In the meantime, get it quite clear one of them. Please send me a report on this when cult to him if only you could persuade him to many who would render the Christian life intensely diffi several young women in your patient's neighborhood Enemy's invention, has its uses. There must be into a useful marriage. For marriage, though the cides. Failing that, it can be used to steer the patient teries, ending, if all goes well, in murders and suirecipe for prolonged "noble," romantic, tragic adul ducing casual unchastity; but it is an incomparable This belief is not much help, I grant you, in proirresistible and somehow intrinsically meritorious you have made him believe that "Love" is both brutal and cynical form. If, on the other hand, he it, weigh in on him with it in some much more separated his sexuality from all that might humanise means let him decide against Love. Instil into him flouting what most of his fellows approve-by all him for purity-and one who takes pleasure in

we and the Enemy are both trying to exploit. Like most of the other things which humans are excited about, such as health and sickness, age and youth, or war and peace, it is, from the point of view of the spiritual life, mainly raw material.

Your affectionate uncle
SCREWTAPE

h creat displays that the France has

I note with great displeasure that the Enemy has, for the time being, put a forcible end to your direct attacks on the patient's chastity. You ought to have known that He always does in the end, and you ought to have stopped before you reached that stage. For as things are, your man has now discovered the dangerous truth that these attacks don't last forever; consequently you cannot use again what is, after all, our best weapon—the belief of ignorant humans that there is no hope of getting rid of us

except by yielding. I suppose you've tried persuading him that chastity is unhealthy?

I haven't yet got a report from you on young women in the neighbourhood. I should like it at once, for if we can't use his sexuality to make him unchaste we must try to use it for the promotion of a desirable marriage. In the meantime I should like to give you some hint about the type of woman—I mean the physical type—which he should be encouraged to fall in love with if "falling in love" is the best we can manage.

chiefly from the most arrogant and prodigal women. anstocratic type of beauty, mixing men's vanity with their desires and encouraging the race to breed time we have directed it to the statuesque and the male taste we have varied a good deal. At one more in that than you might suppose. As regards agreeable to nearly all the females-and there is characteristics of the male (such as the beard) disnature to the extent of making certain secondary we have now for many centuries triumphed over happy, and fertile marriages are most likely. Thus bers of the other with whom spiritually helpful, advertisers who determine the fashionable type. circle of popular artists, dressmakers, actresses, and of these great masters to produce in every age a "taste." This they do by working through the small general misdirection of what may be called sexual the Lowerarchy than you and I. It is the business tion is decided for us by spirits far deeper down in The aim is to guide each sex away from those mem-In a rough-and-ready way, of course, this quesyou can easily forecast! demands more and more impossible. What follows more important and at the same time making its making the rôle of the eye in sexuality more and desires of men to something which does not exist-As a result we are more and more directing the "frank" and "healthy" and getting back to nature. modern world is taught to believe that it is being full-grown woman to be. Yet at the same time, the more slender and more boyish than nature allows a in and propped up to make them appear firmer and women in bathing suits or tights are actually pinched figures in the popular art are falsely drawn; the real the bathing beach. It is all a fake, of course; the real nude) in art, and its exhibition on the stage or to the representation of the apparent nude (not the a great increase in the license which society allows children. And that is not all. We have engineered and render her less willing and less able to bear horror of growing old (with many excellent results) than most, we thus aggravate the female's chronic Since this is a kind of beauty even more transitory are scarcely distinguishable from those of boys. and we now teach men to like women whose bodies premium. At present we are on the opposite tack. ness of mind which go with them, shall be at a cowardice, and all the general falseness and littleinine type, faint and languishing, so that folly and At another, we have selected an exaggeratedly fem-The age of jazz has succeeded the age of the waltz,

That is the general strategy of the moment. But inside that framework you will still find it possible

> nerve of his private obsession. which, by our art, can be made to play on the raw may even, in a sane hour, describe as ugliness, but which he is after. In the face, it is the visible from what he ordinarily calls Beauty, something he animality, or sulkiness or craft or cruelty, which he evil is what he wants; it is that "tang" in the flavour someone else's wife and be sorry that he could not likes, and in the body, something quite different love her lawfully. But in the second type, the felt dentally; the man would wish that she was not involve what the Enemy calls evil, but only acciidol, or an accomplice. His love for the first might within marriage, he would tend to treat as a slave, an him away from marriage altogether but which, even desires to desire brutally, a type best used to draw there is another type which he desires brutally, and to marriage, coloured all through with that golden cording to its object. There is one type for which any human's heart, that he is haunted by at least light of reverence and naturalness which we detest; Enemy-readily mixed with charity, readily obedient Venus, and that his desire differs qualitatively actwo imaginary women-a terrestrial and an infernal directions. You will find, if you look carefully into his desire is such as to be naturally amenable to the to encourage your patient's desires in one of two

The real use of the infernal Venus is, no doubt, as prostitute or mistress. But if your man is a Christian, and if he has been well trained in nonsense about irresistible and all-excusing "Love," he can often be induced to marry her. And that is very

well worth bringing about. You will have failed as regards fornication and solitary vice; but there are other, and more indirect, methods of using a man's sexuality to his undoing. And, by the way, they are not only efficient, but delightful; the unhappiness produced is of a very lasting and exquisite kind.

Your affectionate uncle

SCREWTAPE

XX

Yes. A period of sexual temptation is an excellent time for working in a subordinate attack on the patient's peevishness. It may even be the main attack, as long as he thinks it the subordinate one. But here, as in everything else, the way must be prepared for your moral assault by darkening his intellect.

Men are not angered by mere misfortune but by misfortune conceived as injury. And the sense of injury depends on the feeling that a legitimate claim has been denied. The more claims on life,